

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/613,050	Applicant(s) TAGI ET AL.	
	Examiner Yuriy Semenenko	Art Unit 2841	

All Participants:

 (1) Yuriy Semenenko.

 (2) Nataniel McQueen (Reg. No.: 53308).

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 25 June 2007
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to Mr. N. McQueen on June 25, 2007. Mr. McQueen confirmed that Applicant did not timely file a proper reply to the Office Action mailed on 11/29/2006.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)